

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/562,106	ANDERSON ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phuong T. Bui	1638	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Phuong T. Bui</u>	(3) _____		
(2) <u>Anne Carlson</u>	(4) _____		
Date of Interview: <u>8 January 2010</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	If Yes, provide a brief description: _____		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:	<i>none</i>		
Claims discussed:	<i>all</i>		
Prior art documents discussed:	<i>none</i>		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>The sequence is known but its function is unknown. There is no motivation to express an unknown protein. The Office suggests deleting "ortholog" to obviate potential issues under written description. Applicant authorized claim amendments as attached and cancellation of nonselected claims (not rejoined under <i>In re Ochiai</i>) by Examiner's Amendment.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			